IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH AUGUST 2007 / 17TH SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1000 of 2006(S)

JUDGEMENT IN WPC.23579/2005 DTD. 09/08/2005
.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN W.P.(C) 23597/05:

KERALA KASUVANDI THOZHILALI CONGRESS,
REG.NO.59/54, CENTRAL OFFICE,
CONGRESS BHAVAN, KOLLAM, REP. BY SECRETARY,
AYATHIL BABY, AGED 55 YEARS,
S/O.MATHEVU.

BY ADV. SRI.T.M.CHANDRAN,
SRI.S.SUJITH.

RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT IN W.P.(C). 23597/05:

SRI.V.G.DIVAKARAN,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
THE PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER I,
EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUNDS, BHAVISHYANIDHI BHAVAN,
PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.N.N.SUGUNAPALAN (SR.),
SMT.T.N.GIRIJA, SC,EPF ORGANISATION.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 08/08/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= =

Cont.Case(C).No.1000 of 2006-S

= =

Dated this the 8th day of August, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The affidavit dated 2-11-2006 sworn to by Sri.V.G.Divakaran as the reply affidavit filed by the respondent is recorded. In view of the averments in paragraph 2 of that affidavit and the production of Annexure-R1(a), I do not find it necessary for this Court to embark upon an enquiry as to the correctness of Ext.R1(a), in exercise of authority under the Contempt of Courts Act. This case is hence closed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to have such further clarifications, if any, as may be necessary in terms of the averments in paragraph 2 of the aforesaid affidavit.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.

Sha/